



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

MAILING DATE

Charles J. Metz 1 Johnson & Johnson Plaza New Brunswick, NJ 08933

1 6 067 1993

NOTIFICATION OF APPROVAL IN-PART OF CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

x THE	CHANGES BELOW CANNOT BE INCLUDED IN THE CERTIFICATE SINCE THE REQUEST WAS FILED UNDER RULE 322. column 3 line 1-8
1. X	Column 40 , line 59-66 is printed in accordance with the record.
_	(a) The change referred to was initialed and dated by applicant before execution of the application papers.
2.	In column, line, the error resulted from applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(a), in that the precise point of entry of the amendment was omitted.
3.	In column, line, the alleged error is due to applicant's failure to comply with Rule 121(b), wherein provision is made for use of <u>brackets</u> , instead of parentheses, to cancel subject matter and for the use of <u>interlineations</u> to indicate new subject matter.
4.	Omission of the priority data from the patent resulted from applicant's failure to fully comply with 35 U.S.C. 119, in that:
	(a) The priority data was omitted from the oath, or declaration.
	(b) The claim for priority was not included in the application papers.
	(c) The certified copy of the foreign application was not filed.
5.	The assignment data is printed in the patent in accordance with PTO 76-136, submitted by applicant at time of payment of the base issue fee, as follows:
_	
6.	In column, line, the error arose because Rule 52(b) was not complied with. Consequently, words on top of certain pages were obliterated where those pages were placed in the file jacket, causing the Office to provide what appeared to be the proper words.
тн	IE REQUEST HAS BEEN CHANGED AS SHOWN BELOW TO COMPLY WITH THE RECORD:
1.	Since it is not normally the practice of the Office to reprint figures of the drawings, the following narrative description of Fig(s)as suggested by the examiner, will be included:

2.	The error complained of in column where the changes will be made.	, line	,occurred in column	, line,		
3.	The assignment will be shown in accordance with the Assignment Division records which read as follows:					
			. इत् . शह			
4.	The change requested in		has been modified by			
		*	·			
THE	FOLLOWING CORRECTION(S) CANNOT BE INCL	UDED IN THE CERTIF	FICATE FOR THE REASONS GIVE	N BELOW:		
	The word					
2.	found in the printed patent. The alleged error in column	, line , is	s an editing change made in acco	ordance with the		
	style of the Invention Patent Manual.					
3.	In column, line be in accordance with the permissible amer	, the alleged error is idments enumerated i	in fact a change made by the exin M.P.E.P. 1302.04.	taminer and considered to		
4.	In the title, it is the practice to exclude wo					
5.	Comparison of the patent in column	, line	, with the corresponding lo	cation in the application		
6.	The records of the Assignment Division in crecords at the time of issuance.	dicate that the patent	t has been printed in accordance	with the assignment		
7.	The numbering of the claims in the printed examiner as described in M.P.E.P. 608.01(n		nce with the renumbering of depe	ndent claims by the		
8.	The alleged error in column allowance. Since no error is involved and the requested change will not be included in	since applicant filed	s a change made in an Examiner' I no objection prior to payment of	s Amendment at time of the base issue fee,		
9.	The error complained of in columnreports the following:	, line	cannot be corrected since th	e Group Director		
•	·					
		,				
отн	IER					
	Other S. Jones					
1 S	Supervisor, Certificates of Correction Branch					

This decision is rendered pursuant to authority delegated by the Solicitor under authority delegated to him by the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.